

Replied

(Speed Post)

ORDER

Dated: 29/10/2020

This is an order on your RTI Appeal dated 28/09/2020 preferred against the response to your RTI Application dated 10/08/2020 which was disposed of by the CPIO vide reply no. IFCI/RTI/845/2020 dated 15/09/2020.

2. The main point alleged in the present appeal is that the CPIO has denied you the information w.r.t query no. 1(b), 2(ii) & 3(ii) as raised in your Application dated 10/08/2020. For the convenience, the queries raised reproduced herein:-

1(b). Fixation of different slabs of pension from time to time for the purpose of payment of dearness relief payable on respective slab of pension to the pensioners of IFCI Ltd.

2(ii) Kindly provide the relevant circular number and date by which the powers have been delegated to the competent authority for the sanction of fixation of slabs of pension.

3(ii) Kindly reproduce at the end of your reply the relevant clauses/provisions/item no.s of the circular on the "Delegation of Powers" in which the powers have been delegated to the competent authority for the sanction of fixation of slabs of pension.

3. It is seen from the record, as available with the CPIO, that the RTI Application was forwarded to the concerned department for providing the query wise response of the RTI Application and the CPIO has provided you the information as sought by you except the queries stated hereinabove on the ground that the queries are vague and ambiguous.

4. I have given my thoughtful consideration to the contents of the appeal and the record as available with the CPIO and have come to the conclusion that the queries reproduced in para no. 2 above pertaining to your RTI Application dated 10/08/2020 is not clear and as such vague and ambiguous and rightly disposed of by the CPIO vide its reply dated 15/09/2020. The provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 clearly provides that specific information is required to be provided to the queries raised by the information seeker. However, where the queries are not clear and vague, the CPIO is not expected to do research work or create information that suits the queries of the information seeker. Further, the CPIO is also not supposed to interpret the information or solve the problems raised by the information seeker. Accordingly, no further intervention is required on the part of FAA in this regard.

OC

Di

3. In case you are not satisfied with this appeal order, you are free to file 2nd appeal at the following address:

**Central Information Commission,
CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110067 (Phone No.: 011 2618 3053).**

Yours faithfully,


(अनामिका रानावत)

First Appellate Authority